10586520

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference OP050027_WJ	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below				
International application No. PCT/KR2005/004546	International filing date (day/month/year) 26 December 2005 (26.12.2005)	Priority datc (day/month/year) 20 September 2005 (20.09.2005)				
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant WOONGJINCOWAY CO, LTD, .						

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	This report contains indications r	elating to the following items	•			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority	,			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opini applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application			
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44his.3(c) and 93his.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44his.2).					
			Date of issuance of this report 26 March 2008 (26.03.2008)			
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Authorized officer Philippe Becamel			
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70		HZG IAIN	e-mail: pt12.pct@wipo.int			

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Applicant's or agent's file reference OP050027 _W J International application No. PCT/KR 2005/004546 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B01D 61/02 (2006.01) Applicant WOONGJINCOWAY CO., LTD. I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Cont. No. II Priority Cont. No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Cont. No. IV Lack of unity of invention Cont. No. VI Certain decentain deeplanations supporting such statement Cont. No. VII Certain decentain the international application Cont. No. VII Certain defects in the international application Cont. No. VIII Certain observations on the international application If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. Ibis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Dresdner Straße 87, A-1200 Vienna	To: LEE Bong-Jin 1610 Hyundai Venturetel 642-1 Yeoksam 1-dong Gangnam-gu 135-910 Seoul Republic of Korea			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing 10 May 2006 (10 05 2006)			
International application No. PCT/KR 2005/004546 International filing date (day/month/year) 26 December 2005 (26.12.2005) International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B01D 61/02 (2006.01) Applicant WOONGJINCOWAY CO., LTD. I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Cont. No. I Basis of the opinion Cont. No. II Priority Cont. No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Cont. No. IV Lack of unity of invention Cont. No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; cliations and explanations supporting such statement Cont. No. VII Certain defects in the international application Cont. No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Sureau and Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions is a provided above, considered to be a written opinion if a precipital or of Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/AT Authorized officer Austrian Patent Office	Applicant's or agent's file	reference		<u> </u>	(day/month/year)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC BO1D 61/02 (2006.01) Applicant WOONGJINCOWAY CO., LTD. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Cont. No. I Basis of the opinion Cont. No. II Priority Cont. No. IIN Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Cont. No. IV Lack of unity of invention Cont. No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(j) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Cont. No. VI Certain decuments cited Cont. No. VII Certain defects in the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPBA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office	OF	P050027 _W J					
Applicant WOONGJINCOWAY CO., LTD. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Cont. No. I Basis of the opinion Cont. No. II Priority Cont. No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Cont. No. IV Lack of unity of invention Cont. No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Cont. No. VI Certain documents cited Cont. No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Certain defects in the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPBA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPBA and the chosen IPBA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPBA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPBA as written opinions of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/ AT Authorized officer STEPANOVSKY M.							
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AT This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Cont. No. I Basis of the opinion	International Patent Class	ification (IPC)					
E Cont. No. I Basis of the opinion Cont. No. II Priority Cont. No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Cont. No. IV Lack of unity of invention E Cont. No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Cont. No. VI Certain documents cited Cont. No. VII Certain defects in the international application Cont. No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/ AT Authorized officer Austrian Patent Office STEPANOVSKY M.	Applicant						
Austrian Patent Office STEPANOVSKY M.	1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Cont. No. I Basis of the opinion						
Recrimile No. +43 / 1 / 534 24 / 535	Austrian Patent Office Dresdner Straße 87, A-1200 Vienna				STEPANOVSKY M.		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/KR 2005/004546

Continuation No. 1

Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed.

Continuation No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-10	YES
	Claims	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-10	YES
	Claims	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-10	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Novelty:

The cited literature shows the preparation and the use of reverse osmosis membranes. There could not be found a preparation method for a TFC reverse osmosis membrane using interfacial polymerisation of an amine aqueous solution and amine-reactive compound with post treatment of the polyamide layer by contacting with an aqueous solution containing a polyfunctional tertiary alcohol amine. So the subject-matters of the present application are new, since they are not totally described in the cited literature.

Inventive step:

The resulting advantageous effects of the preparation method for a TFC reverse osmosis membrane using interfacial polymerisation of an amine aqueous solution and amine-reactive compound with post treatment of the polyamide layer by contacting with an aqueous solution containing a polyfunctional tertiary alcohol amine, as claimed could not be foreseen, therefore the subject matters claimed meet the requirements of inventiveness.

Industrial applicability:

The industrial applicability of the preparation method of the polyamide thin film composite reverse osmosis membrane, of the present application is self-evident.